PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This project review report includes findings raised during Verra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered for approval by Verra. The project review report will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided in separate attachments.

Project ID	0562
Project Name	The Kasigau Corridor REDD+ Project Phase I – Rukinga Sanctuary
Review Type	Verification Approval
Program(s)	CCB Program, VCS Program
Verification Period	1st January 2022 to 31st December 2022
Project Proponent	Wildlife Works
Methodology	VM0009, Methodology for Avoided Mosaic Deforestation of Tropical Forests, v1.1
VVB	AENOR INTERNATIONAL S.A.U
Assessment Criteria	*VCS Standard, v4.4; Climate, Community & Biodiversity Standards, v2.0*
Date of First Issue	30 August 2023
Date of Second Issue	16 October 2023
Review Conclusion	Approved
Date of Final Issue	Approved

FINDINGS

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
1	**Missing information on the project description deviation**		
	Issue In Section 2.2.4 of the MR, the project proponent did not provide any information to explain whether the "M9 Deviations" impacts the applicability of the methodology, additionality or the appropriateness of the baseline scenario and provide an explanation of the outcome. Action Required 1. The VVB must ensure the project proponent updates Section 2.2.4 of the MR and to explain whether the "M9 Deviations" impacts the applicability of the methodology, additionality or the appropriateness of the baseline scenario and provide an explanation of the outcome. 2. The VVB must provide an assessment of the above and update Section 3.3 of the verification report as needed. Program Rule(s) *VCS & CCB Monitoring Report, v2, v3,* Section 2.2.4*; VCS & CCB Verification Report, v2, v3,* Section 3.3	**Round 1** VVB Response WWC Response: The required text was not include in the referenced section in error. The following text was added to section 2.2.4 of the monitoring report. "The updating of the herbaceous monitoring section was done to avoid conducting a destructive harvest in the same location multiple times. This deviation only affects the monitoring of the project and does not impact the applicability of the methodology, additionality or the appropriateness of the baseline scenario. This deviation is in compliance with the methodology VM0009 requirements." Complementary, the VVB has updated the section 3.3 of the verification report to demonstrate the assessment of the appropriateness of the applied methodology deviations, confirming that they do not impact the applicability of the methodology, additionality or appropriateness of the baseline scenario. Verra Response Section 2.2.4 of the MR has been updated to provide an assessment of the M9 Deviations on applicability of the methodology, additionality or the appropriateness of the baseline scenario and provide an explanation of the outcome. The VVB has also provided an assessment of the updated in Section 3.3 of the verification report. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.	Closed

2	No distinctions included in the Verification Statement		
	Issue The Verification Statement states that the project achieves no distinctions, however the project has been verified with Climate and Biodiversity Gold-level benefits for this verification period. Action Required The VVB must revise the Verification Statement to include these distinctions. Program Rule(s) *Climate, Community & Biodiversity Program Rules, v3.1,* Section 4.3.19	**Round 1** VVB Response The Verification statement for the VCS/CCB projects already included the following statement: **Distinctions**: Climate change adaptation benefits and Biodiversity benefits The VVB has paraphrased the box to detail the statement with the Gold-level benefits. (Climate change adaptation Gold-level benefits and Biodiversity Gold-level benefits) The VVB provides the new Verification Statement Verra Response The Verification Statement has been updated. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.	Closed

3	Insufficient evidence to demonstrate project emissions assessment		
	Issue References provided in Section 4 of the verification report are insufficient to demonstrate that project emissions have been adequately assessed. Action Required The VVB must update Section 4 of the verification report to describe the steps taken to verify that there were no disturbances in the KCRPI project area that met the definition of a significant project emission in this	**Round 1** VVB Response The VVB has updated section 4.4.1 of the verification report to demonstrate that the PP has correctly addressed the project emissions of Kasigau Phase I in the MP9. Verra Response Section 4 of the verification report has been updated to demonstrate that project emissions due to forest fires have been adequately assessed. This finding is closed, and no further	Closed

	monitoring period. Program Rule(s) *VM0009, v1.1,* Section 9	action is required. However, a new finding (#8) was raised to request clarification on the approach used to define significant deforestation events and project disturbances other than fires.	

4	Missing information on project reversal assessment		
	Issue No information is provided on how the VVB assessed that there are no project reversals during this monitoring period. Action Required The VVB must update Section 4 of the verification report to describe the steps taken to verify that there were no project reversals during this monitoring period. Program Rule(s) *VM0009, v1.1,* Section 11.2; *VCS & CCB Verification Report, v2, v3,* Section 4	**Round 1** VVB Response The VVB has updated the section 4.4.2 of the verification report to demonstrate the assessment of reversals during the current monitoring period. Verra Response The VVB has updated Section 4 of the verification report to describe the steps taken to verify that there were no project reversals during this monitoring period. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.	Closed

5	Insufficient details on frequency and schedule of stakeholder engagement		
	Issue Section 2.3.1 of the monitoring report describes regular meetings held and suggestion boxes provided by the Community Engagement and Outreach Department, but it does not specify the frequency of these meetings or how often the suggestion boxes were checked. Action Required	**Round 1** VVB Response WWC Response: The PP has updated section 2.3.1 to include the requested information. Complementary the VVB has updated the Verification Report section 4.3.5. The PP has provided external evidence to demonstrate the total number of meetings held in MP9. The VVB has updated the verification report according to the	Closed

	1. The VVB must ensure that the project proponent updates Section 2.3.1 of the monitoring report to provide additional information about the frequency and schedule for stakeholder engagement meetings and Suggestion Box reviews that took place during the monitoring period. 2. The VVB must assess the updates and revise the verification report as needed. Program Rule(s) *CCB Standards, v2.0,* Section G3.8	new information in the MR Verra Response Section 2.3.1 of the monitoring report and Section 4.3.5 of the verification report have been updated to include the frequency of stakeholder engagement during this monitoring period. This finding has been closed.	

6	Unplanned community impacts		
	Issue Section 4.1.1 of the monitoring report focuses largely on planned activities and impacts but does not explicitly mention any unplanned impacts on the community groups. Action Required 1. The VVB must ensure that the project proponent updates Section 4.1.1 of the monitoring report to provide information on any unplanned impacts that have occurred and how they were or are being managed, especially with respect to each community group. 2. The VVB must assess the updates in the verification report. Program Rule(s) *CCB Standards, v2.0,* Section CM1.1	**Round 1** VVB Response WWC Response: The PP has updated section 4.1.1 with unplanned impacts that have occurred, or might occur, and how they are being managed. The VVB has updated section 4.5.1 after the new information of the MR. Verra Response Section 4.4.1 of the monitoring report and Section 4.5.1 of the verification report have been updated to include unplanned community impacts of the project's planned activities. This finding has been closed.	Closed

7	Exceptional biodiversity benefits unassessed		
	Issue The verification report does not assess the exceptional biodiversity benefits claimed by the project in Section 5.4 of the monitoring report. Action Required 1. The VVB must assess the exceptional biodiversity benefits claimed by the project and update the verification report. Program Rule(s) *VCS & CCB Verification Report, v2, v3*	**Round 1** VVB Response The VVB has updated section 4.7 of the Verification Report to include the assessment of the exceptional biodiversity benefits explained in section 5.4 of the MR. Verra Response Section 4.7 of the verification report has been updated to include an assessment of the exceptional biodiversity benefits claimed by the project. This finding has been closed.	Closed